



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/925,562	08/09/2001	G. David Jang	S63.2-9950	6986
490	7590	11/23/2005		
VIDAS, ARRETT & STEINKRAUS, P.A. 6109 BLUE CIRCLE DRIVE SUITE 2000 MINNETONKA, MN 55343-9185			EXAMINER PREBILIC, PAUL B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

TNS

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/925,562

Applicant(s)

JANG, G. DAVID

Examiner

Paul B. Prebilic

Art Unit

3738

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
 Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 October 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 34-36, 39-43, 47 and 49-62 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 60 and 61 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 51-59 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 34-36, 39-43, 47, 49, 50 and 62 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/11/05+10/25/05</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

### ***Reopening of Prosecution***

An appeal conference was held in this application and the conferees agreed with the Applicant's position. However, upon review of the prior art of record and a subsequent search, some of the present claims were found to be unpatentable.

### ***Information Disclosure Statement***

With regard to the information disclosure statement filed October 25, 2005, only a cursory review of the rather voluminous documents (mostly court proceedings) was made because the documents are not considered particularly directed to the present claims with the particular issues at hand. In particular, the documents appear to relate to claim 36 of the CIP grandparent application now US Patent 5,922,021. Claim 36 does not claim something obvious in view of what is claimed herein so the Examiner did not think that the documents are particularly relevant.

If Applicant believes that more relevant information is contained in these documents, Applicant is hereby requested to explain the particular relevance of the information as they relate to the claimed invention, giving citations of the relevant pages in the documents.

### ***Election/Restrictions***

Claims 60 and 61 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on September 8, 2004.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 34-36, 39-43, and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pinchasik et al (US 5,449,373). Pinchasik anticipates the claim language where the first expansion struts as claimed are in the left-most section (102) of Pinchasik (see Figures 2A to 2C), the second expansion struts are in the middle section (102), and the third expansion struts as claimed are in the right most section (102). The first connecting strut column is made up of links (112) and the links are clearly closer to the top struts of the expansion pair on the left end and to the bottom of the pair on the right end thereof.

Claim 62 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Globerman (US 5,776,161). Globerman anticipates the claim language where the joints (69) of Globerman are the expansion columns as claimed and the segments (65) of Globerman are the connecting struts as claimed; see Figure 22 and column 7, line 49 to column 9, line 24.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 49 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pinchasik et al (US 5,449,373) in view of Richter et al (US 6,156,052). Pinchasik meets the claim language as explained in the Section 102 rejection above but fails to disclose a strut with a proximal end connected to one strut and the distal end thereof connected to another strut as claimed. However, Richter teaches that is was known to use different strut columns with the same connector columns. Specifically, Richter discloses using a strut column with a strut having a proximal end connected to one strut and the distal end thereof connected to another strut; see Figures 2A and 2B and compare it to Figures 3, 4, and 14 (Note that Richter interchanges a strut column like that disclosed by Pinchasik with one that is like the one claimed.). Therefore, it is the Examiner's position that it would have been obvious to replace or interchange the sections (102) of Pinchasik with the strut column disclosed in Richter in Figures 3, 4, and 14 for the same reasons that Richter does the same.

***Allowable Subject Matter***

Claims 51-59 are allowed over the prior art of record.

Art Unit: 3738

### ***Conclusion***

Applicant should specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure, including the claims (MPEP 714.02 and 2163.06). Due to the procedure outlined in MPEP 2163.06 for interpreting claims, it is noted that other art may be applicable under 35 USC 102 or 35 USC 103(a) once the aforementioned issue(s) is/are addressed.

Applicant is respectfully requested to provide a list of all copending applications that set forth similar subject matter to the present claims. A copy of such copending claims is respectfully requested in response to this Office action if the application is not stored in image format (i.e. the IFW system) or published.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Paul B. Prebilic whose telephone number is (571) 272-4758. He can normally be reached on 6:30-5:00 M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, McDermott Corrine can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Paul Prebilic  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 3738